Poultry meat quality: technological, nutritional, sensory and microbiological quality

N.E. FEHRI^{1,2}, M. KAMMOUN², M. AMRAOUI², M. BEN LARBI³ B. JEMMALI²

¹University of Jendouba, Kef Higher School of Agriculture, Kef 7119, Tunisia

² University of Carthage, LR13AGR02, Mateur Higher School of Agriculture, Mateur 7030, Tunisia

³ University of Carthage, UR17AGR04, Mateur Higher School of Agriculture, Mateur 7030, Tunisia

Abstract:

Meat is a foodstuff rich in protein also it's very important for human nutrition. The quality is the set of characteristics conferred on it by its nutritional, technological, organoleptic and microbiological properties. The concept of meat quality is a complex concept that encompasses a multitude of different properties that can be influenced by the producer, the processor and even the consumer during the final preparation of the meat. The main objective of this review is to present the qualities of the meats consumed. This article also reviews the point of production and consumption of poultry meat in Tunisia. Meat quality data was collected from researched scientific publications.

Key words: poultry, quality, technological, nutritional, sensory, microbiological.

Date of Submission: 05-05-2022	Date of Acceptance: 19-05-2022

I. Introduction

Global consumption of poultry meat, especially chicken, has exceeded that of other meats in recent decades¹. Chicken meat is the second largest source of consumed meat protein, has high edible and nutritional value, widely appreciated by consumers, and its demand is increasing². Chicken meat is one of the best sources of animal protein for low-income populations because it is affordable, accessible, low in fat, and has limited religious restrictions³. Human health, nutrition and animal welfare are increasingly attracting consumer attention and organic food markets are growing in popularity. Poultry products, which are an important food source worldwide they, are also experiencing growth in the organic market. In addition to quantity, quality has become a real challenge for producers and processors who must meet local and international requirements. Thus, several scientific research works have been carried out to evaluate and improve the meat's quality which is produced and consumed in Tunisia.

II. Evolution of chicken meat production in Tunisia

The production of chicken meat in Tunisia for the past fifteen years has increased (Figure 1). The year 2019 recorded the highest value of 137.5 tons⁴.



Figure1. Evolution of chicken meat production in Tunisia⁴

III. Evolution of chicken meat consumption in Tunisia

Chicken meat consumption in Tunisia is increasing every year. Consumption by inhabitant by year, in 2015 is estimated at 19.4 kg, for chicken meat⁵ (Figure 2).



Kg/ inhabitant/ year

Figure2. Evolution of chicken meat consumption in Tunisia⁵

IV. Concept of meat

Meat is the result of postmortem evolution of skeletal muscle tissue and adipose tissue. It is the product of transformation of the muscle after the death of the animal⁶.

Mechanism of transformation of muscle in meat

When the animal dies, the muscle which was initially flexible undergoes many transformations to become meat. These transformations generally go through the panting phase, the rigor mortis phase and the maturation phase. The panting phase or the panting state begins with the cessation of blood circulation which suppresses the supply of oxygen and exogenous energy substrates (glucose, amino acids and fatty acids).Cellular oxidative power decreases very rapidly, and only anaerobic reactions (mainly glycolysis) persist⁷.

Glycogen is transformed into lactic acid. The progressive acidification of the muscle associated with its hardening characterizes the installation of the phase of rigor mortis also called rigor mortis which appears a few hours after death in cattle whose carcass is subjected to refrigeration⁶.

As for maturation, it is the phase of favorable evolution of tenderness and results from the degradation of certain elements of the muscle fiber (rupture of the Z streaks and elongation of the sarcomeres) or of the connective tissue by proteases⁷.During this progressive muscle degradation, various factors (including meat aroma and flavor precursors) responsible for the organoleptic quality of meats are elaborated in a series of complex processes⁸. From the panting state to maturation, muscle hardness increases rapidly before gradually falling to an acceptable level to give the meat good organoleptic qualities.

The composition of the meat

Meat is composed of water, proteins (including enzymes) and amino acids, mineral salts, fats and fatty acids, vitamins and other bioactive components, and small amounts of carbohydrate. Protein represents 12-20% of the edible part and 50-80% of the dry weight. The main ones are myosin, myostroin and collagen. Myoglobin gives meat its characteristic red color which turns brown upon oxidation. The lipids are in very variable quantity according to the animal and the piece: 5p 100 for the chicken; 5-10p 100 for veal, rabbit; 10-20p 100 for charcuterie⁹.

V. Meat quality

According to the International Standard Organization, quality is defined as "all the properties and characteristics of a service or product which give it the ability to satisfy expressed or implicit needs". For the consumer, the quality of a food can be defined from a certain number of organoleptic characteristics⁸.

1. Nutritional Quality

VI. Poultry meat quality criteria

The main components of raw poultry meat are proteins, lipids and minerals in proportions between 18.4 and 23.4%, 1.3 and 6.0%, 0.8 and 1.2% respectively¹⁰. Breast meat contains less than 3 g fat/100 g and the

corresponding average value for dark meat (without skin) is 5-7 g/100 g. Unlike beef fat and dairy products, chicken meat does not contain trans fats ¹¹ that contribute to coronary heart disease and about half of the fat is made up of the desirable monounsaturated fats, and only a third of the less healthy saturated fats. The World Cancer Research Fund and other¹² suggested that consuming large amounts (more than 500g/week) of red meat, especially processed meat, but not chicken meat, may be unhealthy.

Poultry meat is an important supplier of essential polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), especially x-3 fatty acids¹³. The amounts of these important fatty acids, particularly long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LC PUFAs), can be increased more easily in chicken meat than in other farmed meats, although negative effects on oxidative stability may appear.

2. Technological quality

The technological characteristics represent the suitability of the meat for preservation and processing¹⁴. **pH**

pH has a direct impact on meat quality attributes such as tenderness, water holding capacity, color, juiciness and shelf life. High pH broiler breast meat has a higher water-binding capacity than lower pH meat.

The pH of broiler meat is a function of the amount of glycogen in the muscle before slaughter and the rate of conversion of glycogen to lactic acid after slaughter. Color identification is an easy way to determine the pH of meat. If the meat is very dark it will have a high pH and if it is very light it will have a low pH¹⁵. The lighter than normal fillets had an initial pH of 5.8, a moisture absorption of 6% when marinating, a drip loss of 5.88% and a cooking loss of 34.4%. Darker than normal fillets had an initial pH of 6.02, marinating pick-up of 7.67%, drip loss of 3.34%, and cooking loss of 32.9 %, which shows a significant impact on drip loss.

Poultry meat with low pH has been associated with low water-binding capacity (WRC), which results in increased cooking loss, drip loss, cooking time, preservation and a reduction in tenderness¹⁶.

The power of water retention

Water retention capacity or water retention capacity is the ability of meat to firmly retain its own water or added water when applying any force. It is essential to take this parameter into account because it influences the profitability of the processing sector and more importantly, the organoleptic qualities of the meat, moreover this parameter is often considered by the consumer as a quality criterion, even sometimes is wrongly, as an indication of treatment of animals by growth promoters. It is therefore necessary to determine the water retention capacity during storage but also during cooking. It is also possible to estimate the loss by evaporation or sublimation during storage¹⁷.

3. Organoleptic quality

The organoleptic characteristics of meat include the sensory properties at the origin of the sensations of pleasure associated with their consumption. The sensory quality of meat is determined by its color, flavor, juiciness and tenderness¹⁸.

Color

The color of the meat is the first qualitative characteristic perceived upon purchase. The consumer considers it as a criterion of product freshness⁸. It is the result of four components, the first and the second one explain the color of the fresh product , the third and the fourth one , its evolution during storage¹⁹.

The structural component of color is linked to the physical structure of the muscle and in particular to its degree of acidification (pH), which modifies the luminosity of the product (more or less light red)²⁰.

The quantitative component, i.e. the amount of red pigment in the muscle, which determines the color saturation (bright red or dull, grayish). Myoglobin (carrier of oxygen in the muscle) is the main pigment responsible for the color of meat. It is a chromoprotein made up of a heme group containing heme (iron atom associated with protoporphyrin) and a protein, globin. A higher heme iron content is associated with less light meat with higher red intensity and lower yellow intensity. During conservation, the structural and quantitative components change little²⁰.

The qualitative component, relating to the chemical form of muscle pigment, which envolves over time. Reduced myoglobin (MbO2, Fe++) corresponds to the pigment deep in the muscle or on the surface of the meat when it is stored in the absence of oxygen, exposed to air, the pigment combines with oxygen to form bright red oxymyoglobin (MbO2, Fe++), synonymous with freshness and attractive to consumers²⁰.

Meat color appears redder and darker with increasing age²¹ and carcass weight ²² and nature of diet ²³, muscle metabolic type and muscle fiber composition²⁴, marbling rate and heme pigment content in the period immediately preceding slaughter (stressful conditions) and the period post mortem²³, also influence the color of the meat.

Flavor

The flavor of the meat corresponds to "all the olfactory and taste impressions" that one experiences at the time of tasting. The various chemical compounds responsible for the flavor of meat are released mainly during cooking²⁶

Tenderness

Tenderness can be defined as the ease with which a meat can be sliced and chewed, unlike hard meat, which is difficult to chew²⁷. Tenderness is the most important quality criterion for the consumer when consuming meat. It measures the ease with which the structure of meat can be disorganized during chewing ²⁸. Tenderness is an important quality factor. It is the most determining sensory quality for meat consumers²⁹. It is also one of the most variable quality criteria of multifactorial origin, and therefore the most difficult to control or predict ³⁰.

Juiciness

The correctness or impression of juice release during chewing is linked to the amount of free water found in the meat and to the secretion of saliva stimulated essentially by lipids ³¹. According to Youssao et al³², the accuracy of the meat varies according to the level of intramuscular lipids. It is also influenced by muscle characteristics such as pH and muscle water retention capacity¹⁸.

4. Microbiological quality

Total mesophilic aerobic flora

The Total mesophilic aerobic flora (TMAF) corresponds to hygiene indicator bacteria. It includes pathogenic microbes and spoilage microbes. The TMAF count is an excellent method for estimating the food safety and quality index³³. It provides information on the overall microbial load of the food, thus reflecting its microbiological quality³⁴.

This flora is able to multiply aerobically, at average temperatures, more precisely those whose optimum growth temperature is between 25 and 45° C. It quickly leads to spoilage and makes food unfit for consumption³⁵. A mesophilic flora found in large quantities indicates that the meat spoilage process has begun and is a reflection of poor general hygiene conditions.

Total coliforms

Coliforms are indicators of hygienic quality³⁶. The term coliforms corresponds to rod microorganisms, nonsporogonia with Gram-negative, oxidase-negative staining, aerobic or optionally anaerobic, possessing the enzyme β -galactosidase allowing the hydrolysis of lactose at 35°C and capable of growing in the presence of bile salts. Total coliforms have long been used as indicators of microbial water quality because they can be indirectly associated with faecal pollution.

Almost all of the species are non-pathogenic and do not represent a direct health risk³⁶, with the exception of certain E. coli strains, as well as rare opportunistic pathogenic bacteria. In very high numbers, they can cause food poisoning.

Faecal coliforms

Faecal coliforms, or thermotolerant coliforms, are a subgroup of total coliforms capable of fermenting lactose at a temperature of 44.5°C. These coliforms are telltale germs of faecal contamination. The count of faecal coliforms makes it possible to monitor the hygiene of meat handlers. The species most frequently associated with this bacterial group is E. coli³⁷. However, the E. coli bacterium represents 80 to 90% of the thermotolerant coliforms detected. Although the presence of faecal coliforms usually indicates contamination of faecal origin, several faecal coliforms are not of faecal origin, coming rather from water enriched in organic matter, such as industrial effluents from the pulp and paper sector or food processing³⁸. These germs can become pathogenic for the consumer when they are present in large numbers.

Escherichia coli

E. coli is a bacterium, prokaryotic organism belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family, they are normal or pathological bacteria of the digestive tract.

They are found in the soil and plants which are even the usual shelter of certain species. E. coli also lives in the intestines of humans and some healthy warm-blooded animals. It is a rod-shaped bacterium, its size varies according to the growth conditions (between 0.5 and 3 μ m). It is an optional aero-anaerobic Gramnegative bacillus, asporulated, sometimes encapsulated, and resists heat well; severely reduced peritrichous mobility. This bacterium grows in a wide range of pH between 4.4 and 9, with an optimum of 6 to 7, for a value of water activity (Aw) of 0.95 minimum and in a temperature interval of 10°C to 45°C with an optimum at 37°C. The majority of its strains are harmless, cause no symptoms, but some are pathogenic and cause intestinal

disorders. E. coli was identified in 1885 by Theodor Esherich. E. coli are routinely characterized by serological identification of their somatic O, flagellar H and capsular K antigens. They are abundant in the stool and are sought as such as a witness of faecal contamination in water and food³⁹.

Staphylococcus aureus

These are presumed pathogenic staphylococci. They are represented by Staphylococcus aureus and are of human origin. These are germs whose count reflects the presence of carriers in the broiler meat circuit. Appearance-wise, staph colonies are large, opaque, creamy white or golden in color, but under the microscope they appear as cocci (rounded) arranged in a cluster. A major distinction must always be made within the genus Staphylococcus: Staphylococcus epidermidis with coagulase (-) having a white pigment (white staphylococcus) is a commensal germ of the skin and mucous membranes, rarely pathogenic. Staphylococcus aureus with coagulase (+) which has a yellow pigment (staphylococcus aureus), is a pathogen very frequently isolated in human pathology⁴⁰.

Salmonella spp

Salmonella are bacteria belonging to the Enterobacteriacae family. They are straight Gram-negative bacilli; and they are motile, except all strains of serovar Gallinarum. These 2 to 3 µm long rods are mesophilic bacteria, which are not very demanding from a nutritional point of view. Their development is optimal for temperatures close to the body temperature of warm-blooded animals, 35 to 37°C, and a pH of 6.5 to 7.5. Their multiplication remains assured for temperatures of 6.7 to 41°C. The wide spectrum of temperatures -20 to 60°C and pH 4.1 to 9 at which they are able to survive, as well as their ability to withstand an Aw value of 0.94, make them extremely resistant bacteria to harsh conditions. even difficult environmental conditions (freezing) and explain their ubiquitous nature⁴¹. Salmonella are mainly found in the intestines of humans and animals and in natural environments polluted by human or animal excrement. All varieties of food are likely to be contaminated, but they are mainly found in polluted waters and products eaten raw. The vast majority of Salmonella isolated from humans and warm-blooded animals belong to the Enterica subspecies. Salmonellae are distinguished from each other by the expression of their multiple somatic (O), flagellar (H) and capsular (K) antigens, and by their different biochemical profiles. Salmonella multiply in the digestive tract of the colonized host. They therefore multiply little or not at all in the environment, but they are excreted there in large numbers through the faeces of patients or healthy carriers.

VII. Conclusions

The concept of meat quality is a complex concept that encompasses a multitude of different properties that can be provided by the producer, the processor and even the consumer during the final preparation of the meat. The parameters of variation in the quality of poultry meat are the subject of numerous studies. Many measurement methods exist to assess the quality of the carcass and the meat. Despite the variation in the criteria for assessing the quality of meat by consumers, there is in most cases consistency between the values of these assessment criteria and those of the measurement methods.

In short, the subjective criteria of the quality of the carcass and those of the meat correspond to the judgments that the consumer makes on the meat before the purchase decision and during consumption. These criteria are diversed and vary from one continent to another or from one community to another and are related to image and social values. They vary according to consumer behavior and preferences. On the other hand, the objective criteria relate to technically measurable parameters on the meat and usable in routine in order to be able to diagnose non-conformities in real time and to initiate, if necessary, actions. The technological, nutritional, organoleptic and sensory characteristics of meat from local hens in northern North Africa are not known. A characterization of the carcass composition and quality of poultry meat from North Africa is necessary so that the meats are better known by consumers and more competitive on the international level.

References

- Pandurevic T, Mitrovic S, Ristanovic B and V Stanisic. Quality of chicken meat from conventional and organic production. In: Proceedings of the 5th International Scientific Agricultural Symposium East Sarajevo, Jahorina, Faculty of Agriculture. 2014. 849– 853.
- [2]. Pandurevic et al. Quality of chicken meat from conventional and organic production.2014.
- [3]. Smith LC and D Wiesmann.. Is food insecurity more severe in South Asia or SubSaharan Africa. International Food Policy Research Institute. Washington, DC, USA; 2007 1–52.
- [4]. GIPAC..Groupement interprofessionel des produits avicoles et cunicoles. 2021.
- [5]. INS. Institut national de la statistique. 2016.
- [6]. Salifou CFA, Youssao AKI, Ahounou GS, Tougan PU, Farougou S, Mensah GA, Clinquart A, Critères d'appréciation et facteurs de variation des caractéristiques de la carcasse et de qualité de la viande bovine. Annales de Médecine Vétérinaire. 2013157 : 27-44
- [7]. Pearce KL, Rosenvold K, Andersen HJ, Hopkins DL. Water distribution and mobility in meat during the conversion of muscle to meat and ageing and the impacts on fresh meat quality attributes A review. Meat Science 2011. 84: 111-124.

- [8]. COIBION L. Acquisition des qualités organoleptiques de la viande bovine : adaptation à la demande du consommateur. (Mémoire pour l'obtention du grade de Docteur vétérinaire). Ecole nationale vétérinaire de Toulouse : Toulouse, 2008. 97 p.
- [9]. Pereira PMCC. and Vicente AFRB,. Meat nutritional composition and nutritive role in the human diet. A review. Meat Science 2013.93: 586-592
- [10]. Culioliet. Consommation, composition et qualité. Sci Aliment. 2003: 23 (1): 13–34.
- [11]. Greger M. Graissestransdans les graissesanimales. Nutrition Facts. 2014.
- [12]. Bingham. Le débat fibre-folate sur le cancer colo-rectal. P Nutr Soc. 2006. 65 (1): 19–23.
- [13]. Farrell D. Le rôle de la volaille dans la nutrition humaine. Dans: Revuedu développementde la volaille. FAO, Rome, ISBN 2013. 978-92-5-108067-2.
- [14]. MONIN G. Evolution post-mortem du tissu musculaire et conséquences sur les qualités de la viande de porc. Journ. Rech. Porcine, ., 1988. 20,201-214.
- [15]. Anadon. Facteurs biologiques, nutritionnels et de transformation affectant la qualité de la viande de poitrine des poulets de chair. Doctorat Thèse, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA, 2002. 24061, USA.
- [16]. Barbut. Mesures de couleur pour évaluer l'occurrence exsudative molle pâle (PSE) dans la viande de dinde. Stagiaire en restauration alimentaire., 1993. 26: 39–43.
- [17]. Hamm, R. Functional properties of the myofibrillar system and their measurements, in Muscle as a Food (ed. P.J. Bechtel), Academic Press, Orlando. 1986. p. 135.
- [18]. HOCQUETTE J.F., CASSAR-MALEK I., LISTRAT A., JURIE C., JAILLER R., PICARD B. Evolution des recherches sur le muscle des bovins et la qualité sensorielle de leur viandes. 2005.
- [19]. CARTIER P., MOËVI I. Le point sur la qualité des carcasses et des viandes de gros bovins. Institut de l'Élevage : Paris, 2007. 72 p.
 [20]. RENAND G., HAVY A., TURIN F. Caractérisation des aptitudes bouchères et qualités de la viande de trois systèmes de production
- de viande bovine à partir des races rustiques françaises Salers, Aubrac et Gasconne. Prod. Anim., ., 2002. 15, 171-183.
- [21]. PRIOLO A., MICOL D., AGABRIEL J. Effects of grass feeding systems on ruminant meat colour and flavor: a review. Anim. Res., 2001. 50, 185-200
- [22]. VESTERGAARD M., THERKILDSEN M., HENCKEL P., JENSEN L.R., ANDERSEN H.R., SEJRSEN K. Influence of feeding intensity, grazing and finishing feeding on meat and eating quality of young bulls and the relationship between muscle fibre characteristics, fibre fragmentation and meat tenderness. Meat Sci. ., 2000, 54, 187-195.
- [23]. MOHAMED A., JAMILAH B., ABBAS K.A., ABDUL RAHMAN R. A review on some factors affecting colour of fresh beef cuts. J. Food Agric. Env. 2008. 6, 181-186.
- [24]. CUVELIER C., CABARAUX J.F., DUFRASNE I., CLINQUART A., HOCQUETTE J.F., ISTASSE L., HORNICK J.L. Performance, slaughter characteristics and meat quality of young bulls from Belgian Blue, Limousin and Aberdeen Angus breeds fattened with a sugar-beet pulp or a cereal-based diet. Anim. Sci., 2006. 82, 125-132.
- [25]. MOËVI I. Le point sur la couleur de la viande bovine. Interbev : Paris, 2006, 113 p
- [26]. LAMELOISE P., ROUSSEL-CIQUARD N., ROSSET R.. Evolution des qualités organoleptiques: les viandes: hygiène, technologie. Inf. Tech. Serv. 1984 Vet., 88-91, 121-125.
- [27]. TOURAILLE.C., 1994. Incidence des caractéristiques musculaires sur les qualités organoleptiques des viandes. Renc. Rech. Ruminants, 1, 169-176.
- [28]. OUALI A., HERERA-MANDEZ C.H., COULIS G., BECILA S., BOUDJLLEL A.G., ALUBRY L. et SENTRADREU M .A. Revising the conversion of muscle into meat and the underlying mechanisms. ., 2006. Meat Sci, maniscript accepted, MESC 3881.
- [29]. DRANSFIELD, E. ZAMORA, F., DEBITON, E., LEPETIT, J., LEBERT, A., and OUALI, A. Predicting variability of ageing and toughness in beef m longissimus lumborum et thoracis. Meat Science, 1996. 43 (3-4): 321-333.
- [30]. GEAY t., BAUCHART D., HOCQUETTE J., CULIOLI J.. Effect of nutritional factors on biochemical, structural and metabolic characteristics of muscle in ruminants, consequences on dietetic value and sensorial qualities of meat. Reprod. Nutr. Dev. 2001. 41, 1-26. Erratum, 341-377.
- [31]. Girard J.P., Bout J., Salort D. Lipides et qualités du tissu adipeux, facteurs de variation. Journées Rech. Porcine en France. 1988. 20, 255-278
- [32]. Youssao IAK, Alkoiret IT, Dahouda M, Assogba MN, Idrissou N-D, Kayang BB, Yapi-Gnaoré V, Assogba HM, Houinsou AS, Ahounou SG, Tougan UP, Rognon X, Tixier-Boichard M, Comparison of growth performance, carcass characteristics and meat quality of Benin indigenous chickens and Label Rouge (T55×SA51). African Journal of Biotechnology 2012. 11: 15569-15579.
- [33]. Youssao A.K.I., Verleyen V., Leroy P.L. Evaluation de la composition de la carcasse et de la qualité de la viande par ultrasonographie chez le porc. Annales de Médecine Vétérinaire, 2002. 146, 19-29
- [34]. BONNEFOY C., Microbiologie et qualité dans les industries agro-alimentaires. France: DOIN, 248p. ISBN : 2002. 2-7040-1119-2
- [35]. HENINTSOA S. H.: Le cresson à Antananarivo: Qualité sanitaires des échantillons prélevés chez les grossistes. (Mémoire de Diplôme d'Etudes Approfondies de Sciences de la Vie, Département de Biochimie Fondamentale et Appliquée, Faculté des Sciences, Université d'Antananarivo). 2009. 42p.
- [36]. RAFALIMANANA R.H. et al. Le cresson à Antananarivo : Qualité sanitaire des échantillons prélevés dans les sites de production. Microbiologie des aliments : les moyens de maîtrise des contaminants microbiologiques de la chaîne alimentaire. Colloque organisé par la SFM. Institut Pasteur de Paris-France, 2008.
- [37]. RALIJAONA H. T. Cresson à Antananarivo : Qualité microbiologique des échantillons prélevés dans les sites types. (Mémoire de Diplôme d'Etudes Approfondies de Sciences de la Vie, Département de Biochimie Fondamentale et Appliquée, Faculté des Sciences, Université d'Antananarivo). 2010. 56p.
- [38]. EDBERG., EW RICE., RJ KARLIN, MJ ALLEN, 2000. Escherichia coli: the best biological drinking water indicator for public health protection. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 88: 106S-116S.
- [39]. OMS. Salubrité des aliments. Genève. 2001: 75p.
- [40]. RASOLOFONIRINA N. Les bactéries pathogènes rencontrées dans les maladies d'origine alimentaire; Arch. Inst. Pasteur de Madagascar. 1989. 56(1): 81-91 p
- [41]. HERMANT P., Prof. Delmée M. et Prof. Goubau P. Travaux pratiques de microbiologie, Université Catholique de Louvain Faculté de Médecine, 2009–2010. 24p.
- [42]. DAVID J. Attribution des cas de salmonelloses humaines aux différentes filières de production animale en France : Adaptabilité et robustesse du modèle bayésien d'attribution par typage microbiologique. (Thèse en Biologie et Agronomie). Agro Campus Ouest : Université Européenne de Bretagne, 2009. 216p